

# MANUAL

INL/EXT-19-53843

Revision 0

Printed November 13, 2022

## RAVEN Analytic Test Documentation

Andrea Alfonsi, Paul W. Talbot, Diego Mandelli, Congjian Wang, Joshua Cogliati,  
Mohammad G. Abdo, Cristian Rabiti

Prepared by  
Idaho National Laboratory  
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

The Idaho National Laboratory is a multiprogram laboratory operated by  
Battelle Energy Alliance for the United States Department of Energy  
under DOE Idaho Operations Office. Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517.

Approved for unlimited release.



Issued by the Idaho National Laboratory, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Battelle Energy Alliance.

**NOTICE:** This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors.

Printed in the United States of America. This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.



INL/EXT-19-53843  
Revision 0  
Printed November 13, 2022

# **RAVEN Analytic Test Documentation**

Andrea Alfonsi  
Paul W. Talbot  
Congjian Wang  
Diego Mandelli  
Joshua Cogliati  
Mohammad G. Abdo  
Cristian Rabiti



# Contents

1	Introduction	7
2	Projectile (vacuum, gravity)	8
2.1	Grid, $x_0, y_0$	8
3	Attenuation	10
3.1	Uniform	10
3.1.1	mean	10
3.1.2	variance	11
3.1.3	numeric values	11
3.2	Multivariate Normal	11
3.2.1	mean	12
3.2.2	variance	12
3.2.3	numeric values	13
3.3	Changing lower, upper bounds	13
4	Tensor Polynomial (First-Order)	15
4.1	Uniform, (-1,1)	15
4.1.1	mean	15
4.1.2	variance	16
4.1.3	numeric values	16
4.2	Uniform, (0,1)	16
4.2.1	mean	17
4.2.2	variance	17
4.2.3	numeric values	17
4.3	Multivariate Normal	18
4.3.1	mean	18
4.3.2	variance	19
4.3.3	numeric values	19
5	Stochastic Collocation with Gamma Distribution	20
5.1	Mean and Variance	20
5.2	numeric values	21
6	Global Sobol Sensitivity: Sudret	22
6.1	Second-Order ANOVA of Second-Order Cut-HDMR Expansion of Sudret	22
7	Global Sobol Sensitivity: Ishigami	26
8	Sobol G-Function	27
9	Risk Importance Measures	28
10	Parabolas	31
11	Fourier	32
12	Optimization Functions	33
12.1	Continuous	33
12.1.1	Unconstrained	33
12.1.1.1	Beale's Function	33

12.1.1.2	Rosenbrock Function	33
12.1.1.3	Goldstein-Price Function	33
12.1.1.4	McCormick Function	34
12.1.1.5	2D Canyon	34
12.1.1.6	Stochastic Diagonal Valley	34
12.1.1.7	Parabolic Valley	35
12.1.1.8	Paraboloid	35
12.1.1.9	Time-Parabola	35
12.1.1.10	Eggholder	35
12.1.2	Constrained	36
12.1.2.1	Mishra's Bird Function	36
12.1.2.2	ND Slant	36
12.1.2.3	Rosenbrock with a disk constraint	36
12.1.2.4	Townsend	37
12.1.2.5	Simionescu	37
12.2	Discrete	38
12.2.1	Unconstrained	38
12.2.1.1	Locally weighted sum without replacement	38
12.2.1.2	Locally weighted sum with replacement	38
12.2.2	Constrained	39
12.2.2.1	Locally weighted sum with replacement linearly constrained	39
12.2.2.2	Locally weighted sum with replacement sphere constrained	39
13	Processor Load	40
14	MCSSolver	41
	Appendices	41
A	Document Version Information	41
	References	42

# 1 Introduction

In the interest of benchmarking and maintaining algorithms developed and used within `raven`, we present here analytic benchmarks associated with specific models. The associated external models referenced in each case can be found in

`raven/tests/framework/AnalyticModels/`

## 2 Projectile (vacuum, gravity)

Associated external model: `projectile.py`

Solves the projectile motion equations

$$x = x_0 + v_{x,0}t, \quad (1)$$

$$y = y_0 + v_{y,0}t + \frac{a}{2}t^2, \quad (2)$$

with the following inputs

- $x_0$ , or  $x0$ , initial horizontal position,
- $y_0$ , or  $y0$ , initial vertical position,
- $v_0$ , or  $v0$ , initial speed (scalar),
- $\theta$ , or  $\text{ang}$ , angle with respect to horizontal plane,

and following responses:

- $r$ , or  $r$ , the horizontal distance traveled before hitting  $y = 0$ ,
- $x$ , or  $x$ , the time-dependent horizontal position,
- $y$ , or  $y$ , the time-dependent vertical position,
- $t$ , or  $\text{time}$ , the series of time steps taken.

The simulation takes 10 equally spaced time steps from 0 to 1 second, inclusive, and returns all four values as vector quantities.

### 2.1 Grid, $x_0, y_0$

If a Grid sampling strategy is used and the following distributions are applied to  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ , with three samples equally spaced on the CDF between 0.01 and 0.99 for each input, the following are some of the samples obtained.

- $x_0$  is distributed normally with mean 0 and standard deviation 1,
- $y_0$  is distributed normally with mean 1 and standard deviation 0.2.



$x_0$	$y_0$	$t$	$x$	$y$
-2.32634787404	0.53473045192	0	-2.32634787404	0.534730425192
		1/3	-2.09064561365	0.225988241143
		1	-1.61924109285	-3.65816279362
1.0	0.0	0	1.0	0.0
		1/3	0.235702260396	0.691257815951
		1	0.707106781187	-3.19289321881

### 3 Attenuation

Associated external model: `attenuate.py`

Attenuation evaluation for quantity of interest  $u$  with input parameters  $Y = [y_1, \dots, y_N]$ :

$$u(Y) = \prod_{n=1}^N e^{-y_n/N}. \quad (3)$$

This is the solution to the exit strength of a monodirectional, single-energy beam of neutral particles incident on a unit length material divided into  $N$  sections with independently-varying absorption cross sections. This test is useful for its analytic statistical moments as well as difficulty to represent exactly using polynomial representations.

#### 3.1 Uniform

Let all  $y_n$  be uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. The first two statistical moments are:

##### 3.1.1 mean

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u(Y)] &= \int_0^1 dY \rho(Y) u(Y), \\ &= \int_0^1 dy_1 \cdots \int_0^1 dy_N \prod_{n=1}^N e^{-y_n/N}, \\ &= \left[ \int_0^1 dy e^{-y/N} \right]^N, \\ &= \left[ \left( -N e^{-y/N} \right) \Big|_0^1 \right]^N, \\ &= [N (1 - e^{-1/N})]^N. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

### 3.1.2 variance

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[u(Y)^2] &= \int_0^1 dY \rho(Y) u(Y), \\
&= \int_0^1 dy_1 \cdots \int_0^1 dy_N \frac{1}{1^N} \left( \prod_{n=1}^N e^{-y_n/N} \right)^2, \\
&= \left[ \left( \int_0^1 dy e^{-2y/N} \right) \right]^N, \\
&= \left[ \left( \frac{N}{2} e^{-2y/N} \right) \Big|_0^1 \right]^N, \\
&= \left[ \frac{N}{2} (1 - e^{-2/N}) \right]^N.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var}[u(Y)] &= \mathbb{E}[u(Y)^2] - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)]^2, \\
&= \left[ \frac{N}{2} (1 - e^{-2/N}) \right]^N - [N (1 - e^{-1/N})]^{2N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

### 3.1.3 numeric values

Some numeric values for the mean and variance are listed below for several input cardinalities  $N$ .

$N$	mean	variance
2	0.61927248698470190	0.01607798775751018
4	0.61287838657652779	0.00787849640356994
6	0.61075635579491642	0.00520852933409887

## 3.2 Multivariate Normal

Let  $Y$  be  $N$ -dimensional, and have a multivariate normal distribution:

$$Y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \tag{7}$$

with  $N$ -dimensional mean vector  $\mu = [\mu_{y_1}, \mu_{y_2}, \dots, \mu_{y_N}]$ , and  $N \times N$  covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = [Cov[y_i, y_j]], i = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, N \tag{8}$$

To be simplicity, we assume there are no correlations between the input parameters. Then, the covariance matrix can be written as:

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{y_1}^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{y_2}^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_{y_N}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where  $\sigma_{y_i}^2 = Cov[y_i, y_i]$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Based on this assumption, the first two statistical moments are:

### 3.2.1 mean

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u(Y)] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \rho(Y) u(Y) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_1}} e^{-\frac{(y_1 - \mu_{y_1})^2}{2\sigma_{y_1}^2}}) \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_N (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_N}} e^{-\frac{(y_N - \mu_{y_N})^2}{2\sigma_{y_N}^2}}) \prod_{n=1}^N e^{-y_n/N} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N e^{\frac{\sigma_{y_i}^2}{2n^2} - \frac{\mu_{y_i}}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

### 3.2.2 variance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}[u(Y)] &= \mathbb{E}[(u(Y) - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)])^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \rho(Y) (u(Y) - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)])^2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_1}} e^{-\frac{(y_1 - \mu_{y_1})^2}{2\sigma_{y_1}^2}}) \\ &\quad \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_N (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_N}} e^{-\frac{(y_N - \mu_{y_N})^2}{2\sigma_{y_N}^2}}) (\prod_{n=1}^N e^{-y_n/N} - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)])^2 \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N e^{\frac{2\sigma_{y_i}^2}{n^2} - \frac{2\mu_{y_i}}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

### 3.2.3 numeric values

For example, for given mean  $\mu = [0.5, -0.4, 0.3, -0.2, 0.1]$ , and covariance

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.64 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.49 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.09 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.16 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.25 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

The mean and variance can be computed using previous equation, and the results are:

$$\mathbb{E}[u(Y)] = 0.97297197488624509 \quad (13)$$

$$\text{var}u(Y) = 0.063779804051749989 \quad (14)$$

### 3.3 Changing lower, upper bounds

A parametric study can be made by changing the lower and upper bounds of the material opacities.

The objective is to determine the effects on the exit strength  $u$  of a beam impinging on a unit-length material subdivided into two materials with opacities  $y_1, y_2$ . The range of values for these opacities varies from lower bound  $y_\ell$  to higher bound  $y_h$ , and the bounds are always the same for both opacities.

We consider evaluating the lower and upper bounds on a grid, and determine the expected values for the opacity means and exit strength.

The analytic values for the exit strength expected value depends on the lower and upper bound as follows:

$$\bar{u}(y_1, y_2) = \int_{y_\ell}^{y_h} \int_{y_\ell}^{y_h} \left( \frac{1}{y_h - y_\ell} \right)^2 e^{-(y_1+y_2)/2} dy_1 dy_2, \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{4e^{-y_h-y_\ell} (e^{y_h/2} - e^{y_\ell/2})^2}{(y_h - y_\ell)^2}. \quad (16)$$

Numerically, the following grid points result in the following expected values:

$y_\ell$	$y_h$	$\bar{y}_1 = \bar{y}_2$	$\bar{u}$
0.00	0.50	0.250	0.782865
0.00	0.75	0.375	0.695381
0.00	1.00	0.500	0.619272
0.25	0.50	0.375	0.688185
0.25	0.75	0.500	0.609696
0.25	1.00	0.625	0.541564
0.50	0.50	0.500	0.606531
0.50	0.75	0.625	0.535959
0.50	1.00	0.750	0.474832

## 4 Tensor Polynomial (First-Order)

Associated external model: `tensor_poly.py`

Tensor polynomial evaluation for quantity of interest  $u$  with input parameters  $Y = [y_1, \dots, y_N]$ :

$$u(Y) = \prod_{n=1}^N y_n + 1. \quad (17)$$

This test is specifically useful for its analytic statistical moments. It is used as a benchmark in [1].

### 4.1 Uniform, (-1,1)

Let all  $y_n$  be uniformly distributed between -1 and 1. The first two statistical moments are:

#### 4.1.1 mean

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u(Y)] &= \int_{-1}^1 dY \rho(Y) u(Y), \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 dy_1 \cdots \int_{-1}^1 dy_N \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{y_n + 1}{2}, \\ &= \left[ \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{y + 1}{2} \right]^N, \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y^2}{2} + y \right) \Big|_{-1}^1 \right]^N, \\ &= \left[ \frac{2}{2} \right]^N, \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

### 4.1.2 variance

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[u(Y)^2] &= \int_{-1}^1 dY \rho(Y) u(Y), \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 dy_1 \cdots \int_{-1}^1 dy_N \frac{1}{2^N} \left( \prod_{n=1}^N y_n + 1 \right)^2, \\
&= \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{-1}^1 dy y^2 + 2y + 1 \right) \right]^N, \\
&= \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y^3}{3} + y^2 + y \right) \Big|_{-1}^1 \right]^N, \\
&= \left[ \frac{1}{3} + 1 \right]^N, \\
&= \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^N.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var}[u(Y)] &= \mathbb{E}[u(Y)^2] - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)]^2, \\
&= \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^N - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

### 4.1.3 numeric values

Some numeric values for the mean and variance are listed below for several input cardinalities  $N$ .

$N$	mean	variance
2	1.0	0.777777777777
4	1.0	2.16049382716
6	1.0	4.61865569273

## 4.2 Uniform, (0,1)

Let all  $y_n$  be uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. The first two statistical moments are:



### 4.2.1 mean

$$\mathbb{E}[u(Y)] = \int_0^1 dY \rho(Y) u(Y), \quad (21)$$

$$= \int_0^1 dy_1 \cdots \int_0^1 dy_N \prod_{n=1}^N y_n + 1, \quad (22)$$

$$= \left[ \int_0^1 dy y + 1 \right]^N, \quad (23)$$

$$= \left[ \left( \frac{y^2}{2} + y \right) \Big|_0^1 \right]^N, \quad (24)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{3}{2} \right]^N. \quad (25)$$

### 4.2.2 variance

$$\mathbb{E}[u(Y)^2] = \int_0^1 dY \rho(Y) u(Y)^2, \quad (26)$$

$$= \int_0^1 dy_1 \cdots \int_0^1 dy_N \left( \prod_{n=1}^N y_n + 1 \right)^2, \quad (27)$$

$$= \left[ \left( \int_0^1 dy y^2 + 2y + 1 \right) \right]^N, \quad (28)$$

$$= \left[ \left( \frac{y^3}{3} + y^2 + y \right) \Big|_0^1 \right]^N, \quad (29)$$

$$= \left( \frac{7}{3} \right)^N. \quad (30)$$

$$\text{var}[u(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[u(Y)^2] - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)]^2, \quad (31)$$

$$= \left( \frac{7}{3} \right)^N - \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{2N}. \quad (32)$$

### 4.2.3 numeric values

Some numeric values for the mean and variance are listed below for several input cardinalities  $N$ .

$N$	mean	variance
2	2.25	0.381944444444
4	5.0625	4.01306905864
6	11.390625	31.6377499009

### 4.3 Multivariate Normal

Let  $Y$  be  $N$ -dimensional, and have a multivariate normal distribution:

$$Y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \quad (33)$$

with  $N$ -dimensional mean vector  $\mu = [\mu_{y_1}, \mu_{y_2}, \dots, \mu_{y_N}]$ , and  $N \times N$  covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = [Cov[y_i, y_j]], i = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (34)$$

To be simplicity, we assume there are no correlations between the input parameters. Then, the covariance matrix can be written as:

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{y_1}^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{y_2}^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_{y_N}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

where  $\sigma_{y_i}^2 = Cov[y_i, y_i]$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Based on this assumption, the first two statistical moments are:

#### 4.3.1 mean

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u(Y)] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \rho(Y) u(Y), \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_1}} e^{-\frac{(y_1 - \mu_{y_1})^2}{2\sigma_{y_1}^2}}) \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_N (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_N}} e^{-\frac{(y_N - \mu_{y_N})^2}{2\sigma_{y_N}^2}}) \prod_{n=1}^N (y_n + 1), \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^5 (\mu_{y_n} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

### 4.3.2 variance

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var}[u(Y)] &= \mathbb{E}[(u(Y) - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)])^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \rho(Y) (u(Y) - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)])^2, \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_1}} e^{-\frac{(y_1 - \mu_{y_1})^2}{2\sigma_{y_1}^2}}), \\
&\cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_N (1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{y_N}} e^{-\frac{(y_N - \mu_{y_N})^2}{2\sigma_{y_N}^2}}) \left( \prod_{n=1}^N (y_n + 1 - \mathbb{E}[u(Y)]) \right)^2, \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^5 [(1 + \mu_{y_n})^2 + \sigma_{y_n}^2] - \left[ \prod_{n=1}^5 (\mu_{y_n} + 1) \right]^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

### 4.3.3 numeric values

For example, for given mean  $\mu = [0.5, -0.4, 0.3, -0.2, 0.1]$ , and covariance

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.64 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.49 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.09 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.16 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.25 \end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

The mean and variance can be computed using previous equation, and the results are:

$$\mathbb{E}[u(Y)] = 1.0296 \tag{39}$$

$$\text{var}[u(Y)] = 4.0470856000000002 \tag{40}$$

## 5 Stochastic Collocation with Gamma Distribution

Associated external model: `poly_scgpc_gamma.py`

Recall that the *Gamma* distribution has the probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \quad (41)$$

The following two polynomials are used to compute the analytic statistical moments: *Gamma* distribution:

$$u_1(x, y) = x + y \quad (42)$$

$$u_2(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 \quad (43)$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are two mutually independent *Gamma* variates, i.e.

$$x \sim \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$$

$$y \sim \Gamma(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$$

### 5.1 Mean and Variance

The first two statistical moments of  $u_1(x, y)$  and  $u_2(x, y)$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u_1(x, y)] &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy P(x, y) u_1(x, y), \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \Gamma(\alpha_2, \beta_2) u_1(x, y), \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u_2(x, y)] &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy P(x, y) u_2(x, y), \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \Gamma(\alpha_2, \beta_2) u_2(x, y), \\ &= \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1) \alpha_1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{(\alpha_2 + 1) \alpha_2}{\beta_2^2} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}[u_1(x, y)] &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy P(x, y) [u_1(x, y) - \mathbb{E}[u_1(x, y)]]^2, \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2^2} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}[u_2(x, y)] &= \int_0^\infty dx dy P(x, y) [u_2(x, y) - \mathbb{E}[u_2(x, y)]]^2, \\ &= \frac{(4\alpha_1 + 6.0)(\alpha_1 + 1)\alpha_1}{\beta_1^4} + \frac{(4\alpha_2 + 6.0)(\alpha_2 + 1)\alpha_2}{\beta_2^4} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

## 5.2 numeric values

Some numeric values for the mean and variance are listed below for given distributions:

$$\begin{aligned} x &\sim \Gamma(11, 5) \\ y &\sim \Gamma(2, 0.8) \end{aligned}$$

<i>Function</i>	mean	variance
$u_1$	4.7	3.565
$u_2$	14.655	215.638125

## 6 Global Sobol Sensitivity: Sudret

Associated external model: `sudret_sobol_poly.py`

This model provides analytic Sobol sensitivities for a flexible number of input parameters. It is taken from [2] and has the following form:

$$u(Y) = \frac{1}{2^N} \prod_{n=1}^N (3y_n^2 + 1). \quad (48)$$

The variables  $y_n$  are distributed uniformly on  $[0,1]$ . For three input variables ( $N = 3$ ), the Sobol sensitivities are as follows, to 12 digits of accuracy:

$$S_1 = S_2 = S_3 = \frac{25}{91} \quad (0.2747), \quad (49)$$

$$S_{1,2} = S_{1,3} = S_{2,3} = \frac{5}{91} \quad (0.0549), \quad (50)$$

$$S_{1,2,3} = \frac{1}{91} \quad (0.0110). \quad (51)$$

The mean is 1.0 and the variance is 0.728.

### 6.1 Second-Order ANOVA of Second-Order Cut-HDMR Expansion of Sudret

One particular analytic tests involves calculating Sobol sensitivities for the second-order cut-HDMR expansion of the Sudret. We use three variables and equate  $u(Y) = f(x, y, z)$ . The reference cut point is  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

The first step is to construct the second-order cut-HDMR expansion  $T$ ,

$$f(x, y, z) \approx T[f](x, y, z) = t_r + t_x + t_y + t_z + t_{xy} + t_{xz} + t_{yz}, \quad (52)$$

$$t_r \equiv f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad (53)$$

$$t_x \equiv f(x, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - t_r, \quad (54)$$

$$t_{xy} \equiv f(x, y, \bar{z}) - t_x - t_y - t_r. \quad (55)$$

Symmetry between  $x, y, z$  provides similar expressions for the remaining terms. The cut-plane evaluations are

$$f_0 = t_r \equiv f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = \frac{343}{512}, \quad (56)$$

$$f_x \equiv f(x, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = \frac{49}{128}(3x^2 + 1), \quad (57)$$

$$f_{xy} \equiv f(x, y, \bar{z}) = \frac{7}{32}(3x^2 + 1)(3y^2 + 1), \quad (58)$$

and similar for the remaining terms. Expanding the cut-HDMR expression,

$$\begin{aligned} T[f](x, y, z) = & t_r + (f_x - t_r) + (f_y - t_r) + (f_z - t_r) + \\ & [f_{xy} - (f_x - t_r) - (f_y - t_r) - t_r] + \\ & [f_{xz} - (f_x - t_r) - (f_z - t_r) - t_r] + \\ & [f_{yz} - (f_y - t_r) - (f_z - t_r) - t_r], \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

and collecting terms

$$T[f](x, y, z) = t_r - f_x - f_y - f_z + f_{xy} + f_{xz} + f_{yz}. \quad (60)$$

The ANOVA terms are recovered by integration of the cut-HDMR expansion. The ANOVA expansion  $H$  is similar in appearance to the cut-HDMR but uses different definitions; in fact, cut-HDMR is a coarse approximation of the ANOVA expansion. Here we use ANOVA to approximate the cut-HDMR expansion, instead of the original model.

$$H[T](x, y, z) = h_0 + h_x + h_y + h_z + h_{xy} + h_{xz} + h_{yz}, \quad (61)$$

$$h_0 \equiv \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx dy dz, \quad (62)$$

$$h_x \equiv \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dy dz - h_0, \quad (63)$$

$$h_{xy} \equiv \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dz - h_x - h_y - h_0, \quad (64)$$

and similarly for the remaining terms. We evaluate the necessary integrals in the expansion.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx dy dz &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_r - f_x - f_y - f_z + f_{xy} + f_{xz} + f_{yz} dx dy dz, \\ &= \frac{343}{512} - 3 \left( \frac{49}{64} \right) + 3 \left( \frac{7}{8} \right), \\ &= \frac{511}{512}. \end{aligned}$$

$$h_0 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx dy dz = \frac{511}{512}. \quad (65)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx dy &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_r - f_x - f_y - f_z + f_{xy} + f_{xz} + f_{yz} dx dy, \\ &= \frac{259}{512} + \frac{189}{128} z^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$h_z = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx dy - h_0 = \frac{259}{512} + \frac{189}{128}z^2 - \frac{511}{512} = -\frac{63}{128} + \frac{189}{128}z^2. \quad (66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx &= \int_0^1 t_r - f_x - f_y - f_z + f_{xy} + f_{xz} + f_{yz} dx, \\ &= \frac{119}{512} + \frac{105}{128}(y^2 + z^2) + \frac{63}{32}y^2z^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{yz} &= \int_0^1 T[f](x, y, z) dx - h_y - h_z - h_0, \\ &= \frac{119}{512} + \frac{105}{128}(y^2 + z^2) + \frac{63}{32}y^2z^2 - \\ &= \frac{7}{32} - \frac{21}{32}(y^2 + z^2) + \frac{63}{32}y^2z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The other terms are obtained similarly, and are symmetric. In summary,

$$h_0 = \frac{511}{512}, \quad (68)$$

$$h_x = -\frac{63}{128} + \frac{189}{128}x^2, \quad (69)$$

$$h_y = -\frac{63}{128} + \frac{189}{128}y^2, \quad (70)$$

$$h_z = -\frac{63}{128} + \frac{189}{128}z^2, \quad (71)$$

$$h_{xy} = \frac{7}{32} - \frac{21}{32}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{63}{32}x^2y^2, \quad (72)$$

$$h_{xz} = \frac{7}{32} - \frac{21}{32}(x^2 + z^2) + \frac{63}{32}x^2z^2, \quad (73)$$

$$h_{yz} = \frac{7}{32} - \frac{21}{32}(y^2 + z^2) + \frac{63}{32}y^2z^2. \quad (74)$$

It can be shown that the expectation value of any ANOVA expansion term is zero, with the exception of the first term  $h_0$ . Additionally, each term is orthogonal to each other term. The second moment can thus be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H[T](x, y, z)^2 \rangle &\equiv \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (h_0 + h_x + h_y + h_z + h_{xy} + h_{xz} + h_{yz})^2 dx dy dz, \\ &= h_0^2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 h_x^2 + h_y^2 + h_z^2 + h_{xy}^2 + h_{xz}^2 + h_{yz}^2 dx dy dz. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

To obtain the variance  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^2$ , we subtract the square of the mean,

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 h_x^2 + h_y^2 + h_z^2 + h_{xy}^2 + h_{xz}^2 + h_{yz}^2 dx dy dz. \quad (76)$$



The partial variance  $\sigma_k^2$  of any subset  $k$  is the integral of the square of that ANOVA term.

$$\sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2 = \sigma_z^2 = \frac{3969}{20480} \approx 0.19379883, \quad (77)$$

$$\sigma_{xy}^2 = \sigma_{xz}^2 = \sigma_{yz}^2 = \frac{49}{1600} = 0.030625. \quad (78)$$

The total variance is a sum of the partial variances,

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^2 = 3 \left( \frac{3969}{20480} \right) + 3 \left( \frac{49}{1600} \right) = \frac{68943}{102400} \approx 0.67327. \quad (79)$$

The Sobol indices are the ratio of the partial variance to the total,

$$\mathcal{S}_x = \mathcal{S}_y = \mathcal{S}_z = \frac{135}{469} \approx 0.287846482, \quad (80)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{xy} = \mathcal{S}_{xz} = \mathcal{S}_{yz} = \frac{64}{1407} \approx 0.045486851. \quad (81)$$

## 7 Global Sobol Sensitivity: Ishigami

Associated external model: `ishigami.py`

This model has interesting properties for its sensitivity indices, in that  $y_3$  has zero impact alone but a nonzero impact when coupled with  $y_1$ . Additionally, the sinusoidal expression is not trivially represented by polynomial expansion. It is listed in [3] and has the following form:

$$u(Y) = \sin(y_1) + a \sin^2(y_2) + by_3^4 \sin(y_1), \quad (82)$$

where in this case  $a = 7$  and  $b = 0.1$ , and all  $y_n$  are uniformly distributed on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

The variance and partial variances are as follows:

$$D_{\text{tot}} = \frac{a^2}{8} + \frac{b\pi^4}{5} + \frac{b^2\pi^8}{18} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad (83)$$

$$D_1 = \frac{b\pi^4}{5} + \frac{b^2\pi^8}{50} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad (84)$$

$$D_2 = \frac{a^2}{8}, \quad (85)$$

$$D_3 = 0, \quad (86)$$

$$D_{1,2} = 0, \quad (87)$$

$$D_{2,3} = 0, \quad (88)$$

$$D_{1,3} = \frac{8b^2\pi^8}{225}, \quad (89)$$

$$D_{1,2,3} = 0. \quad (90)$$

The corresponding variance values and Sobol sensitivities are listed in Table 1.

Variable	Partial Variance	Sobol Index	Sobol Total Index
(total)	13.8446	1	-
$y_1$	4.34589	0.3138	0.5574
$y_2$	6.125	0.4424	0.4424
$y_3$	0	0	0.2436
$y_1, y_2$	0	0	-
$y_1, y_3$	3.3737	0.2436	-
$y_2, y_3$	0	0	-
$y_1, y_2, y_3$	0	0	-

**Table 1.** Ishigami sensitivities and variances

## 8 Sobol G-Function

Associated external model: `gFunction.py`

This function developed by Sobol has the benefit of tuning factors  $a_n$  that allow the importance of any particular term to be increased or decreased. Because of the absolute value, this function is quite challenging for polynomial expansion. Documentation can be found in [4]. The function is represented by

$$u(Y) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{|4y_n - 2| + a_n}{1 + a_n}, \quad (91)$$

where  $y_n$  are distributed uniformly on  $[0,1]$  and  $a_n$  are non-negative.  $a_n$  are generally integers, and smaller values lead to greater impact of corresponding  $y_n$ . As in [2] we use  $N = 8$  with  $a = [1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 500]$ . The partial variances are given by

$$D_n = \frac{1}{3(1 + a_n)^2}, \quad (92)$$

$$D_{\text{tot}} = \prod_{n=1}^N (D_n + 1) - 1. \quad (93)$$

Analytic values for Sobol sensitivities are given in Table 2.

Variable	Sobol sensitivity	Sobol total sensitivity
$y_1$	0.6037	0.6342
$y_2$	0.2683	0.2945
$y_3$	0.0671	0.0756
$y_4$	0.0200	0.0227
$y_5$	0.0055	0.0062
$y_6$	0.0009	0.0011
$y_7$	0.0002	0.0003
$y_8$	0.0000	0.0000

**Table 2.** G-Function sensitivities and variances

## 9 Risk Importance Measures

Associated test: `tests/framework/PostProcessors/InterfacedPostProcessor/test-riskMeasuresDiscreteMultipleIE.xml` Risk Importance Measures (RIMs) are originally defined for each basic event in a Event-Tree/Fault-Tree analysis. In a simulation based environment similar calculations can employed for boolean models.

For each component  $i$  and for each IE the following quantities are calculated:

- $R^0$  = probability of system failure
- $R_+^i$  = probability of system failure given component  $i$  has failed
- $R_-^i$  = probability of system failure given component  $i$  is perfectly reliable

For each component  $i$ , four RIMs indexes can be computed:

- $RAW^i = R_-^i / R^0$
- $RAW^i = R^0 / R_+^i$
- $B^i = R_-^i R_+^i$
- $FV^i = (R^0 - R_-^i) / R^0$

In the associated test, a system composed by four components (i.e., A, B, C and D) is analyzed for 2 Initiating Events (IEs), IE1 and IE2. Data associated for each IE is as follows:

- IE1 (probability  $p_1 = 0.01$ ); 1 single MCS,  $MCS1 = A + BC$
- IE2 (probability  $p_1 = 0.02$ ); 1 single MCS,  $MCS2 = BCD$

In the associated test, the following probabilities are provided:

- $p_A = 0.01$
- $p_B = 0.05$
- $p_C = 0.1$
- $p_D = 0.02$

**Table 3.** IE1: symbolic expressions of  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$ 

	$R^0$	$R_+^i$	$R_-^i$
A	A+BC	[]	BC
B	A+BC	A+C	A
C	A+BC	A+B	A
D	A+BC	A+BC	A+BC

**Table 4.** IE1: numerical value of  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$ 

	$R^0$	$R_+^i$	$R_-^i$
A	0.01495	1.0	0.005
B	0.01495	0.109	0.01
C	0.01495	0.0595	0.01
D	0.01495	0.01495	0.01495

**Table 5.** IE2: symbolic expressions of  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$ 

	$R^0$	$R_+^i$	$R_-^i$
A	BCD	BCD	BCD
B	BCD	CD	-
C	BCD	BD	-
D	BCD	BC	BCD

**Table 6.** IE2: numerical value of  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$ 

	$R^0$	$R_+^i$	$R_-^i$
A	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
B	0.0001	0.002	0.0
C	0.0001	0.001	0.0
D	0.0001	0.005	0.0

For each IE, the symbolic expressions and the numerical expressions of  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$  are calculated (see Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6).

Given the values provided above it is possible to linearly weight them with the probability associated to each IE (see Table 7).

**Table 7.** IE1+IE2: numerical value for  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$

	$R^0$	$R_+^i$	$R_-^i$
A	0.0001515	0.010002	0.000052
B	0.0001515	0.00113	0.0001
C	0.0001515	0.000615	0.0001
D	0.0001515	0.0002495	0.0001495

Then, it is possible to obtain the values of each of the 4 RIMs for eah component:

**Table 8.** IE1+IE2: numerical value for  $R^0$ ,  $R_+^i$  and  $R_-^i$

	RAW	RRW	FV	B
A	66.01980198	2.913461538	0.656765677	0.00995
B	7.458745875	1.515	0.339933993	0.00103
C	4.059405941	1.515	0.339933993	0.000515
D	1.646864686	1.013377926	0.01320132	0.0001

## 10 Parabolas

Associated external model: `parabolas.py`

This model is a simple  $N$ -dimensional parabolic response  $u(Y)$ ,

$$u(Y) = \sum_{n=1}^N -y_n^2, \quad (94)$$

where the uncertain inputs are  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$  and can be defined arbitrarily. For optimization searches, it is possible to obtain a maximum in the interior of the input by assuring the range of each input variable include 0. In this case, the maximum point will be  $0^N$ .

## 11 Fourier

Some signal processing tests make use of Fourier-based signals for analytic tests.

In constructing a Fourier signal, we use the formulation

$$f(t) = \sum_k \sin \frac{2\pi}{k \in \Lambda} t + \cos \frac{2\pi}{k} t, \quad \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (95)$$

where the choice of periods  $\Lambda$  is any arbitrary set of real numbers and can have any dimensionality.

If the resulting signal is treated by a fast fourier transform, it should show peaks at the frequencies corresponding to the selected periods, where frequencies are simply the inverse of the periods.



## 12 Optimization Functions

The functions in this section are models with analytic optimal points.

### 12.1 Continuous

#### 12.1.1 Unconstrained

##### 12.1.1.1 Beale's Function

Beale's function has a two-dimensional input space and a single global/local minimum. See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test\\_functions\\_for\\_optimization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test_functions_for_optimization).

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = (1.5 - x + xy)^2 + (2.25 - x + xy^2)^2 + (2.625 - x + xy^3)^2$
- Domain:  $-4.5 \leq x, y \leq 4.5$
- Global Minimum:  $f(3, 0.5) = 0$

##### 12.1.1.2 Rosenbrock Function

The Rosenbrock function can take a varying number of inputs. For up to three inputs, a single global minimum exists. For four to seven inputs, there is one local minimum and one global maximum. See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rosenbrock\\_function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rosenbrock_function).

- Objective Function:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [100(x_{i+1} - x_i^2)^2 + (x_i - 1)^2]$
- Domain:  $-\infty \leq x_i \leq \infty \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$
- Global Minimum:  $f(1, 1, \dots, 1, 1) = 0$
- Local minimum ( $n \geq 4$ ): near  $f(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$

##### 12.1.1.3 Goldstein-Price Function

The Goldstein-Price function is a two-dimensional input function with a single global minimum. See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test\\_functions\\_for\\_optimization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test_functions_for_optimization).

- Objective Function:

$$f(x, y) = [1 + (x + y + 1)^2 (19 - 14x + 3x^2 - 14y + 6xy + 3y^2)] \cdot [30 + (2x - 3y)^2(18 - 32x + 12x^2 + 48y - 36xy + 27y^2)] \quad (96)$$

- Domain:  $-2 \leq x, y \leq 2$
- Global Minimum:  $f(0, -1) = 3$

#### 12.1.1.4 McCormick Function

The McCormick function is a two-dimensional input function with a single global minimum. See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test\\_functions\\_for\\_optimization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test_functions_for_optimization).

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = \sin(x + y) + (x - y)^2 - 1.5x + 2.5y + 1$
- Domain:  $-1.5 \leq x \leq 4, -3 \leq y \leq 4$
- Global Minimum:  $f(-0.54719, -1.54719) = -1.9133$

#### 12.1.1.5 2D Canyon

The two-dimensional canyon offers a low region surrounded by higher walls.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = xy \cos(x + y)$
- Domain:  $0 \leq x, y \leq \pi$
- Global Minimum:  $f(1.8218, 1.8218) = -2.90946$

#### 12.1.1.6 Stochastic Diagonal Valley

The stochastic three-dimensional diagonal valley offers a low region surrounded by higher walls.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y, stoch) = stoch * R + (x + 0.5)^2 + (y - 0.5)^2 + \sqrt{(x - \frac{x}{x - y})^2 + (y - \frac{y}{y - x})^2} * 10$ , while  $R \sim ([-0.1, 0.1])$
- Domain:  $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$
- Global Minimum if  $stoch = 0$ :  $f(-0.5, 0.5) = 0$

### 12.1.1.7 Parabolic Valley

The parabolic valley is dominated by distance from  $x = y$  but secondarily motivated by  $x + y$ .

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = 100(x - y)^2 + (x + y)^2$
- Domain:  $-1 \leq x, y \leq 1$
- Global Minimum:  $f(0, 0) = 0$

### 12.1.1.8 Paraboloid

The elliptic paraboloid is a two-dimensional function with a single global minimum.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = 10(x + 0.5)^2 + 10(y - 0.5)^2$
- Domain:  $-2 \leq x \leq 2, -2 \leq y \leq 2$
- Global Minimum:  $f(-0.5, 0.5) = 0$

### 12.1.1.9 Time-Parabola

This model features the sum of a parabola in both  $x$  and  $y$ ; however, the parabola in  $y$  moves in time and has a reduced magnitude as time increases. As such, the minimum is always found at  $x = 0$  and at  $(t - y) = 0$ , with  $y$  values at low  $t$  being more impactful than at later  $t$ , and  $x$  as impactful as  $y(t = 0)$ .

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y, t) = x^2 + \sum_t (t - y)^2 \exp -t$
- Domain:  $-10 \leq x, y, t \leq 10$
- Global Minimum:  $f(0, y = t, t) = 0$

### 12.1.1.10 Eggholder

This is a 2D function with a plethora of local minima and maxima (i.e., non-convex). It is challenging for most optimizers to find the global minimum for such a function. Metaheuristic methods are preferred for their ability to avoid getting stuck in local optima.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = -(y + 47) \sin(\sqrt{|\frac{x}{2} + (y + 47)|}) - x \sin(\sqrt{|x - (y + 47)|})$
- Domain:  $-512 \leq x, y \leq 512$
- Global Minimum:  $f(x = 512, y = 404.2319) = -959.6407$

## 12.1.2 Constrained

### 12.1.2.1 Mishra's Bird Function

The Mishra bird function offers a constrained problem with multiple peaks, local minima, and one steep global minimum. See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test\\_functions\\_for\\_optimization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Test_functions_for_optimization).

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = \sin(y) \exp[1 - \cos(x)]^2 + \cos(x) \exp[1 - \sin(y)]^2 + (x - y)^2$
- Constraint:  $(x + 5)^2 + (y + 5)^2 < 25$
- Domain:  $-10 \leq x \leq 0, -6.5 \leq y \leq 0$
- Global Minimum:  $f(-3.1302468, -1.5821422) = -106.7645367$

### 12.1.2.2 ND Slant

This trivial linear problem extends to arbitrary dimension and has optimal points at infinities, which is usually outside the domain of exploration.

- Objective Function:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x_i \in \mathbf{x}} x_i$
- Domain:  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \forall x_i \in \mathbf{x}$
- Global Minimum:  $f(\{1\}_N) = 0$

### 12.1.2.3 Rosenbrock with a disk constraint

This is the same 2D function, but constrained to a disk. This constraint makes it a little easier than the previous function.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = (1 - x^2) + 100(y - x^2)^2$

- Domain:  $-1.5 \leq x, y \leq 1.5$
- Constraint:  $x^2 + y^2 \leq 2$
- Global Maximum:  $f(1.0, 1.0) = 0$

#### 12.1.2.4 Townsend

It is a highly nonlinear non convex function with multiple minima and maxima. The constraint is highly nonlinear and the y-domain is asymmetric.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = -[\cos((x - 0.1)y)]^2 - x \sin(3x + y)$
- Domain:  $-2.25 \leq x \leq 2.25$  and  $-2.5 \leq y \leq 1.75$
- Constraint:  $x^2 + y^2 \leq [2 \cos(t) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2t) - \frac{1}{4} \cos(3t) - \frac{1}{8} \cos(4t)]^2 + [2 \sin(t)]^2$ ;  
where:  $t = \arctan 2(x, y)$
- Global Minimum:  $f(2.0052938, 1.1944509) = -2.0239884$

#### 12.1.2.5 Simionescu

An extremely simple two dimensional nonlinear function formed from 45-degree hyperbolas. Subjected to highly nonlinear constraint.

- Objective Function:  $f(x, y) = 0.1xy$
- Domain:  $-1.25 \leq x, y \leq 1.25$
- Constraint:  $x^2 + y^2 \leq [r_\Gamma + r_S \cos(n \arctan(\frac{x}{y}))]^2$ ;  
where:  $r_\Gamma = 1, r_S = 0.2$ , and  $n = 8$
- Global Minimum:  $f(\pm 0.84852813, \mp 0.84852813) = -0.072$

## 12.2 Discrete

### 12.2.1 Unconstrained

#### 12.2.1.1 Locally weighted sum without replacement

This function computes the sum of the input vector components weighted by the location in the vector (i.e., component/dimension number). The input vector is sampled from a discrete uniform distribution of intergers between an upper bound  $ub$ , and a lower bound  $lb$  without replacement (i.e., if an integer is selected for one variable (a component in the  $n$ -th dimensional input vector it cannot be selected for the remaining components)).

- Objective Function:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^G i \times x_i$ ; where  $G$  is the number of variables (aka dimension of search/design space or number of Genes in Genetic algorithms)
- Domain:  $x_i \sim \mathcal{U}_{\text{w/o replacement}}^{\text{Discrete int}}(lb, ub)$
- Global Minimum:  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt}|x_i = lb + G - i) = \sum_{i=1}^G (lb + G - i) \times i$  For instance, if  $lb = 1$ ,  $ub = 20$ ,  $G = 6$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [\mathbf{x}]_i|x_i = lb + G - i = 1 + 6 - i = [6 \ 5 \ \dots \ 1]$  and the minimum will be  $f_{min} = \sum_{i=1}^G (1 + 6 - i) \times i = \frac{G(G+1)}{6}[3lb + G - 1] = 56$
- Global Maximum:  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt}|x_i = ub - G + i) = \sum_{i=1}^G (ub - G + i) \times i$  For instance, if  $lb = 1$ ,  $ub = 20$ ,  $G = 6$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [\mathbf{x}]_i|x_i = ub - G + i = 20 - 6 + i = [15 \ 16 \ \dots \ 20]$  and the maximum will be  $f_{max} = \sum_{i=1}^G (20 - 6 + i) \times i = \frac{G(G+1)}{6}[3ub - G + 1] = 385$

#### 12.2.1.2 Locally weighted sum with replacement

This function computes the sum of the input vector components weighted by the location in the vector (i.e., component/dimension number). The input vector is sampled from a discrete uniform distribution of intergers between an upper bound  $ub$ , and a lower bound  $lb$  with replacement (i.e., if an integer is selected for one variable, it can be selected again for any or all other variables).

- Objective Function:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^G i \times x_i$ ; where  $G$  is the number of variables (aka dimension of search/design space or number of Genes in Genetic algorithms)
- Domain:  $x_i \sim \mathcal{U}_{\text{w/ replacement}}^{\text{Discrete int}}(lb, ub)$
- Global Minimum:  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt}|x_i = lb) = \sum_{i=1}^G lb \times i$  For instance, if  $lb = 1$ ,  $ub = 20$ ,  $G = 6$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [\mathbf{x}]_i|x_i = lb = 1 = [1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]$  and the minimum will be  $f_{min} = \sum_{i=1}^G i = \frac{G(G+1)}{2}[lb] = 21$

- Global Maximum:  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt}|x_i = ub) = \sum_{i=1}^G ub \times i$  For instance, if  $lb = 1$ ,  $ub = 20$ ,  $G = 6$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [\mathbf{x}]_i|x_i = ub = 20 = [20 \ 20 \ \dots \ 20]$  and the maximum will be  $f_{max} = \sum_{i=1}^G 20 \times i = \frac{G(G+1)}{2}[ub] = 420$

## 12.2.2 Constrained

### 12.2.2.1 Locally weighted sum with replacement linearly constrained

It uses the same function but adds a constraint.

- Objective Function:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^G i \times x_i$ ; where  $G$  is the number of variables (aka dimension of search/design space or number of Genes in Genetic algorithms)
- Domain:  $x_i \sim \mathcal{U}_{\text{w/o replacement}}^{\text{Discrete int}}(lb, ub)$
- constraint  $x_2 \leq 8.5 - 0.5 * x_1$
- Global Minimum if  $lb = 1, ub = 6, G = 3$ :  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [1 \ 1 \ 1]) = 6.0$
- Global Maximum if  $lb = 1, ub = 6, G = 3$ :  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [6 \ 5 \ 6]) = 34.0$

### 12.2.2.2 Locally weighted sum with replacement sphere constrained

It uses the same function but adds a constraint.

- Objective Function:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^G i \times x_i$ ; where  $G$  is the number of variables (aka dimension of search/design space or number of Genes in Genetic algorithms)
- Domain:  $x_i \sim \mathcal{U}_{\text{w/o replacement}}^{\text{Discrete int}}(lb, ub)$
- constraint  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 72$
- Global Minimum if  $lb = 1, ub = 6, G = 3$ :  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [1 \ 1 \ 1]) = 6.0$
- Global Maximum if  $lb = 1, ub = 6, G = 3$ :  $f(\mathbf{x}_{opt} = [6 \ 5 \ 6]) = 34.0$

## 13 Processor Load

Associated external model: `stress.py`

The entire purpose of this model is to keep a processor at 100 percent utilization for a specified interval. It can be coupled with other models via an ensemble model to assure a calculation takes at least a certain period of time.

The input to this model is `interval`, which determines the number of seconds at which the model should run. The output is `done`, which always evaluates to 1.0.



Order	$P(TopEvent)$
1	0.05
2	0.0454
3	0.045842
4	0.045738
5	0.045739

## 14 MCSSolver

This test evaluates the MCSSolver model for a specific case found in literature (see pages 108-110 of N. J. McCormick, “Reliability and Risk Analysis”, Academic Press inc. (1981)). Provided this set of minimal cuts sets (MCSs) for the top event TopEvent:

$$TopEvent = A + BD + BE + CD + CE \quad (97)$$

the probability of TopEvent can be calculated as

$$P(TopEvent) = [A+BD+BE+CD+CE] - [ABD+ABE+ACD+ACE+BCD+BCE+BDE+CDE+2*...] \quad (98)$$

Give these probability values for the basic events:

- $P(A) = 0.01$
- $P(B) = P(C) = P(D) = P(E) = 0.1$

then the analytical values for  $P(TopEvent)$  for each order are as follows:

# Appendices

## A Document Version Information

tag\_number\_23-2004-g63d74f3a6  
a7f92ad87c217540ff980c7ccb7e5b2a5c7d0f58 Congjian Wang  
Wed, 9 Nov 2022 22:00:37 -0700

## References

- [1] D. Ayres and M. D. Eaton, “Uncertainty quantification in nuclear criticality modelling using a high dimensional model representation,” *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, vol. 80, pp. 379–402, May 2015.
- [2] B. Sudret, “Global sensitivity analysis using polynomial chaos expansions,” *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, pp. 964–979, April 2007.
- [3] A. Saltelli, K. Chan, E. Scott, and editors, *Sensitivity analysis*. New York: Wiley, 2000.
- [4] I. Sobol, “Theorems and examples on high dimensional model representation,” *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, no. 79, pp. 187–193, 2003.



